

(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 277 814 (13) A

(43) Date of A Publication 09.11.1994

(21) Application No 9408968.7

(22) Date of Filing 05.05.1994

(30) Priority Data

(31) 057024

(32) 05.05.1993

(33) US

(71) Applicant(s)

GE Fanuc Automation North America Inc

(Incorporated in USA - Delaware)

Routes 29N and 606, Charlottesville, VA 22901,
United States of America

(72) Inventor(s)

Joseph John Cieri

(51) INT CL⁵

G06F 11/16, G05B 9/03

(52) UK CL (Edition M)

G3N NGK2 N265A N287 N375 N381 N392 N402X N404
N405

U1S S1866 S2183

(56) Documents Cited

None

(58) Field of Search

UK CL (Edition M) G3N NGK2 NGK2B

INT CL⁵ G05B 9/03, G06F 11/16 11/20

ONLINE DATABASES : WPI

(74) Agent and/or Address for Service

F Lupton

General Electric Technical Services Company Inc,

Essex House, 12-13 Essex Street, LONDON,

WC2R 3AA, United Kingdom


(54) Fault tolerant programmable logic controller

(57) In a fault tolerant PLC including a CPU and a controller 12, a pair of first I/O modules 14A, 14B are connected between a positive power bus V(+) and the load 21 and a pair of second I/O modules 14C, 14D are connected between the negative power bus V(-) and the load 21. Redundancy is thus provided so that power to the load is not disconnected upon failure of one of the I/O modules on either side of the load.

Algorithms allowing continuous fault checking within any of the I/O modules with either the power off (figure 3) or power on (figure 4) are also disclosed.

Separate power supplies A and B to the two I/O modules on the same side of the load can be provided so that power is still supplied to the load even if the power supply to one of the modules fails.

FIG. 2



GB 2 277 814 A

FIG. 1
(Prior Art)




FIG. 3





FIG. 4



- 1 -

FAULT TOLERANT PROGRAMMABLE CONTROLLER

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Process control with a programmable controller involves the acquisition of input signals from various process sensors and the provision of output signals to controlled elements of the process. The process is thus controlled as a function of a stored program and of process conditions as reported by the sensors. Numerous and diverse processes are, of course, subject to such control, and sequential operation of industrial processes, conveyor systems, and chemical, petroleum, and metallurgical processes may all, for example, be advantageously controlled by programmable controllers.

Programmable logic controllers (hereinafter "PLC") comprise a central processing unit (CPU) made up, broadly, of a data processor for executing the stored program, a memory unit of sufficient size to store the program and the data relating to the status of the inputs and outputs, and one or more power supplies. In addition, an input/output module provides the interface between the central processing unit and the input devices and controlled elements of the process being controlled. U.S. Pat. No. 4,293,924 describes one such module.

When such PLCS are used with sensitive equipment such as offshore oil rigs, medical equipment, nuclear equipment and the like, supplemental circuits are required to insure that

- 2 -

the associated equipment remains operational when faults may have occurred within any of the modules associated with the PLCS. So-called "fault tolerant" operation is described within U.S.

5 Patents 4,868,826 and 4,967,347 wherein discrete circuit components are employed to provide the fault tolerant operation. U.S. Patent 4,926,281 describes the use of a pair of redundant modules interconnected by a means of crowbar switches and
10 supplemental logic circuits to achieve a similar result.

U.S. Patent 4,752,886 describes a method for on-line testing of the modules associated with a PLC to insure operability of the associated load in
15 the event of fault occurrence within any of the modules. Since standard "off-the-shelf" components are employed, this approach is relatively inexpensive to implement.

One purpose of this invention accordingly, is
20 to provide complete fault tolerant operation to a load associated with a PLC without requiring the supplemental components and associated customized circuits currently employed within the state-of-the art of such fault tolerant operations.

25 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A PLC is interconnected with a sensitive load by means of a multiplicity of standard off-the-shelf I/O modules to provide fault tolerant operation at a substantial cost savings. A pair of
30 similar modules are redundantly interconnected between the line and the load on both sides of the

- 3 -

DC power distribution system. Sampling algorithms within the PLC continuously test the modules for fault occurrence and disconnect the faulted module without interrupting power to the load.

5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a simplified block diagram of a PLC system including a plurality of I/O modules in accordance with the prior art;

10 FIG. 2 is a diagrammatic representation of the redundant interconnection of the modules of Figure 1 with a power source and a load in accordance with the invention;

FIG. 3 is a flow chart representation of the sampling algorithm for the load of Figure 4 in an
15 OFF state; and

FIG. 4 is a flow chart representation of the sampling algorithm for the load of Figure 4 in an ON state.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

20 Before describing the invention in detail, it is helpful to review the operation of a PLC such as described within U.S. Patent 4,628,397. The PLC 10 of FIG. 1 includes a central processing unit (CPU) 11, an I/O controller 12, a plurality of I/O
25 modules 14A-14D, and a data bus 13 which interconnects each module with the I/O controller. These items, exclusive of the CPU, generally comprise the I/O system of the controller. The CPU is substantially of conventional design and may
30 include one or more microprocessors for data

- 4 -

handling and control, plus memory for storage of operating programs, input/output data, and other computed, interim, or permanent data for use in executing the stored programs and for
5 implementation of control. In addition, other conventional elements, such as power supplies, are included as necessary to make the CPU fully functional. The I/O controller 12 provides for control of information exchanged between the
10 various modules and the CPU.

Each module may be separately located, remote from the CPU and the I/O controller, and in close proximity to the process being controlled as depicted as a load 21, for example. Although only
15 three modules are illustrated, it will be understood that the actual number may be considerably greater. For example, sixteen separate modules may be readily accommodated in the system to be described herein. Each module is independent of the
20 other and each may be devoted to control of a process separate from that controlled by all other modules. The data bus 13 is preferably a serial link although parallel transmission of signals between the CPU and the modules may be readily
25 provided. In either case, the modules are connected to the data bus for communication with the CPU. The data bus may comprise a twisted pair of conductors, a coaxial cable, or a fiber optics cable; all are acceptable depending on such
30 considerations as cost and availability.

Each module includes a microcontroller 19 having an interface port for exchanging information

with the CPU and including an associated memory (not illustrated) for implementation of a stored program of operation according to which the various elements of the modules are controlled and
5 diagnosed for incurred faults; a plurality of individual I/O points 20, each of which may be selectably operated either as an input point or as an output point and each of which interfaces
10 individually through conductors directly to input or output elements of the controlled process; and a data bus 15 for interconnecting the I/O points with the microcontroller. The number of I/O points depends on practical considerations such as heat
15 dissipation and the limitations of the microcontroller. As an example, it has been found quite practical and convenient to provide sixteen I/O points per module.

For verifying the integrity and functionality of the input and output components and for
20 maintenance and troubleshooting, a monitor unit 16 is provided. The monitor is hand-held so that it can be readily and conveniently moved from one module to the other. It is adapted for connection into each module by a cable 15 which includes a
25 connector for mating with another connector affixed to the module. The monitor includes a keypad 17 and display 18 to allow the I/O points of the module to be monitored and controlled and provides a display of diagnostic information pertaining to the module.

30 Also connected within each module is a switching circuit (not shown) which interconnects the I/O points with the associated load 21. A

- 6 -

preferred switching circuit will, in any case, include a shunt current path including means for providing a signal indicative of the current to the load. The switching circuit most preferred is the

5 insulated gate transistor (hereinafter "IGT") which comprises a power semiconductor device which may be gated both into and out of conduction. That is, the IGT may be both turned on and turned off through its gate terminal. Some versions of the IGT include

10 a current emulation section which is a section of the IGT provided to carry a proportional fraction of the total IGT current. The emulation section is advantageous in that it can be used to monitor the total current without resort to means for

15 dissipating large circuit currents. A single gate signal controls current flow both in the main section of the IGT and in its emulation section. The insulated gate transistor is fully described within the aforementioned U.S. Patent 4,628,397.

20 The fault tolerant circuit 22 according to the invention is shown in Figure 2 to include a pair of modules 14A, 14B, interconnecting between the positive line bus 23 of a DC power distribution system and the positive load bus 27 that is

25 connected with one side of the associated load 21 by means of the positive power conductor 25. A similar pair of modules 14C, 14D is connected between the negative line bus 24 of the DC power system and the negative load bus 28 that is

30 connected with the other side of the load by means of the negative power conductor 26. Each of the modules includes an IGT, although not shown,

- 7 -

operates in the manner described within the
aforementioned U.S. Patent 4,628,397. To insure
provision of operating power to the modules, each
module connecting with the same side of the load is
5 connected with a different source of operating
power which are indicated as power supply A and
power supply B. Either of which could comprise a
set of batteries or an auxiliary DC generator. In
the event that one of the power supplies fails, at
10 least one pair of modules would be operational to
continue to supply power to the load. The provision
of the separate power supplies is an important
feature of the invention. To distinguish between
the positive power conductor 25 connecting with the
15 positive load bus 27 and the negative power
conductor 26 connecting with the negative load bus
28, the data bus 13 interconnecting the modules and
the controller 12 (Figure 1) is indicated in dashed
lines and the data bus 15 interconnecting the
20 modules and the load is indicated in phantom. An
additional feature is the redundant arrangement of
the modules on both sides of the load to insure
that the load remains operational in the event one
of the modules on either side of the load should
25 fail.

In further accordance with the invention,
the modules are each connected as both Input and
Output modules providing information to the load as
well as receiving information from the various
30 sensors associated with the load. In the
arrangement depicted in Figure 2, modules 14A and
14C are in the ON state wherein their associated

- 8 -

IGTs are turned on and the modules 14B, 14D are in their OFF state with their associated IGTs turned off To insure operability of the associated load in the event that one of the modules or any of
5 their IGTs should fail, the sampling algorithms in Figures 3 and 4 are employed within the CPU 11 of the PLC 10 of Figure 1. Before the load is automatically disconnected from the power supply, both of the modules connected on the same side of
10 the supply bus must indicate a fault.

The algorithms 29 of Figure 3 and 95 of Figure 4 determine the presence or absence of voltage across the associated IGTs as well as the presence of current through the IGTs to indicate
15 whether the IGTs are operational. In the algorithms "A", "B", "C" and "D" represent the IGTs associated within the modules 14A, 14B, 14C and 14D respectively. The algorithm 29 of Figure 3 is designed to test the associated IGTs when the load
20 21 of Figure 2 is de-energized, i.e. "OFF" and the algorithm 95 of Figure 4 is designed to test the associated IGTs when the load is energized, i.e. "ON". The method of pulsing a load to determine the operability of the module components is
25 described within the aforementioned U.S. Patent 4,752,886.

Referring now to Figure 3, a determination is made as to whether there is voltage across A and B (30,31) and if so C is closed (33) and A is pulsed
30 (34). If there is no voltage, a fault is reported to the CPU (32) and the test is stopped (57). A determination is made as to whether there is

- 9 -

current through A (35) and if not, A is reported as faulted (36) and the test is stopped (57). If there is current through A, the voltage across A is measured (37) and A is reported as faulted if such voltage is present (38) and the test is stopped (57). If there is no voltage across A, B is pulsed (39) and the current through B is determined (40). If there is no current, B is reported as faulted (41) and the test is stopped (57). If there is current through B, the voltage across B is measured (42) and B is reported as faulted if there is a voltage across B (43). The voltage across C and D is next determined (44) and if there is no voltage, a fault is reported to the CPU (45) and the test is stopped (57). If there is a voltage across C and D, A is closed (46) and C is pulsed (47). The current through C is measured (48) and if no current exists, C is reported as faulted (49) and the test is stopped (57). The voltage across C is measured (50) and if there is voltage, C is reported as faulted (51) and the test is stopped (57). D is then pulsed (52) and the current through D is measured (53) and if no current exists, D is reported as faulted (54) and the test is stopped (57). The voltage across D is measured (55) and if there is voltage, D is reported as faulted (56) and the test is stopped (57). If there is no voltage across D, the sampling is completed for one test cycle.

30 The algorithm 95 for the load in the "ON" state is depicted in Figure 4 and begins (58) with a determination as to whether there is current

- 10 -

through either A or B (59) and if not, a fault is reported to the CPU (60) and the test is stopped (94). If there is current, A is pulsed (61), the voltage across A is measured (62) and if there is

5 voltage, B is reported as faulted (63) and the test is stopped (94). If no voltage, B is pulsed (64), the voltage across B is measured (65) and if there is voltage, A is reported faulted (66) and the test is stopped (94). If no voltage, A is opened (67),

10 B is pulsed (68), and the voltage across B is measured (69). No voltage across B results in A reported faulted (70) and the test stopped (94). If there is voltage across B, A is closed (71), B is opened (72) and A is pulsed (73). The voltage

15 across A is measured (74), and if no voltage, B is reported faulted (75), and the test is stopped (94). The current through C or D is measured (77), and if no current, a fault is reported to the CPU (78) and the test is stopped (94). If there is

20 current, C is pulsed (79), and the voltage across C is measured (80). If there is voltage, D is reported as faulted (81) and the test is stopped (94). If there is no voltage, D is pulsed (82) and the voltage across D is measured (83). If there is

25 voltage, C is reported as faulted (84) and the test is stopped (94). If no voltage, C is opened (85) and D is pulsed (86). The voltage across D is measured (87) and if no voltage (88), C is reported as faulted and the test is stopped (94). If there

30 is voltage, C is closed (89), D is opened (90) and C is pulsed (91). The voltage across C is measured (92) and if no voltage, D is reported as faulted

- 11 -

(93) and the test is stopped (94). If there is voltage, the test is ended.

A PLC has herein been described providing fault tolerant operation to an associated load.

5 The PLC is interconnected with the load by means of a plurality of I/O modules wherein one pair of the modules interconnects the load with the positive power bus and a separate pair of the modules interconnects the load with the negative
10 power bus. Sampling algorithms stored in the PLC test the modules continuously to determine whether any of the modules have become faulted.

- 12 -

CLAIMS

1. A fault tolerant programmable logic controller comprising:

a central processor unit;

5 a controller unit operably connected with said processor unit and adapted for providing output control signals;

a pair of first I/O modules connected with said controller and receiving said output control signals, said first modules interconnecting
10 between a positive power bus and a load; and

a pair of second I/O modules connected with said controller and receiving said output control signals, said second modules interconnecting between a negative power bus and said load, whereby
15 said load remains operational upon failure of either one of said first or second I/O modules.

2. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said first and second modules include an electronic switch.

3. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 2 wherein said electronic switch includes means for measuring voltage and current.

4. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said electronic switch comprises a transistor.

5. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 4 wherein said electronic switch comprises an insulated gate transistor.

6. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said central processor unit is interconnected with said modules by means of a first data bus.

7. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said modules are interconnected with each other and said load by means of a second data bus.

8. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said first modules are connected together in parallel.

9. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein said second modules are connected together in parallel.

10. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein one of said first modules is connected to a first power supply and the other of said first modules is connected to a
5 second power supply electrically isolated from said first power supply.

- 14 -

11. The fault tolerant programmable logic controller of claim 1 wherein one of said second modules is connected to a first power supply and the other of said second modules is connected to a
5 second power supply electrically isolated from said first power supply.

12. A method of providing fault tolerant operation to an electric load comprising the steps of:

providing a programmable logic controller
5 having a central processor unit and a controller unit;

connecting a plurality of I/O modules between said controller unit and a load each of said modules including an electronic switch;

10 connecting a first pair of said I/O modules between a positive power bus and a positive input to said load; and

connecting a second pair of said I/O modules between a negative power bus and a negative input
15 to said load.

13. The method of claim 12 including the step of connecting one module from said first pair and one module from said second pair to a first common power supply.

14. The method of claim 13 including the step of connecting another module from said first pair and another module from said second pair to a second common power supply electrically-isolated from said first power supply.

15. The method of claim 12 including the steps of measuring current through first electronic switches within said first pair of modules and disconnecting said load when current is absent from both said electronic switches within said first pair.

16. The method of claim 12 including the steps of measuring current through said second pair of modules and disconnecting said load when current is absent from both said electronic switches within said second pair.

17. The method of claim 12 including the steps of reporting a fault condition to said central processor when current is applied to one of said first electronic switches and a voltage is detected across said one first electronic switch.

18. The method of claim 12 including the steps of reporting a fault condition when current is applied to one of said second switches and a voltage is measured across said one second electronic switch.

19. The method of claim 17 wherein said current is applied to said first electronic switches when said load is energized.

- 16 -

20. The method of claim 17 wherein said current is applied to said second electronic switches when said load is de-energized.

21. A controller as claimed in claim 1 and substantially as described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

22. A method as claimed in claim 12 and substantially as described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Relevant Technical Fields

- (i) UK Cl (Ed.M) G3N (NGK2, NGK2B)
(ii) Int Cl (Ed.5) G05B 9/03 AND G06F 11/16 /20

Databases (see below)

- (i) UK Patent Office collections of GB, EP, WO and US patent specifications.

- (ii) ONLINE DATABASES: WPI

Search Examiner
ANDREW BARTLETT

Date of completion of Search
4 July 1994

Documents considered relevant
following a search in respect of
Claims :-
1-20

Categories of documents

- X: Document indicating lack of novelty or of inventive step. P: Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of the present application.
- Y: Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of the same category. E: Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of the present application.
- A: Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art. &: Member of the same patent family; corresponding document.

Category	Identity of document and relevant passages	Relevant to claim(s)
	NONE FOUND	

Databases: The UK Patent Office database comprises classified collections of GB, EP, WO and US patent specifications as outlined periodically in the Official Journal (Patents). The on-line databases considered for search are also listed periodically in the Official Journal (Patents).